Short Reports 743

3-(β-D-Glucopyranosyloxymethyl)-2,4,4-trimethyl-2-cyclohexen-1-one (2). IR $v_{\rm max}^{\rm KBr}$ cm⁻¹: 3390 (OH), 2960, 2920, 2870, 1655 (C=O). ¹H NMR (300.13 MHz, CD₃OD): δ1.98 (3H, s, Me at C-2), 1.22/1.23 (2 × 3H, 2s, 2 × gcm. Me at C-4), 1.84 (2H, t, $J_{5,6}$ = 6.8 Hz, H-5), 2.48 (2H, t, $J_{6,5}$ = 6.9 Hz, H-6), 4.25/4.67 (2H, 2d, $J_{\rm gem}$ = 10.9 Hz, CH₂ at C-3), 4.31 (1H, d, $J_{1',2'}$ = 7.8 Hz, H-1'), 3.18 (1H, dd, $J_{2',1'}$ = $J_{2',3'}$ = 7.8 Hz, H-2'), 3.28–3.40 (3H, H-3', H-4', H-5'), 3.70 (1H, dd, $J_{6',5'}$ = 5.0 Hz, $J_{6'a,6'b}$ = 10.4 Hz, H_a-6'), 3.90 (1H, d, $J_{6b',6a'}$ = 10.4 Hz, H_b-6'). ¹³C NMR: see Table 1.

Tetraacetate 2a. [α] $_{D}^{20}$ – 21.4° (CHCl₃; c 0.499). EIMS (70 eV) m/z: [M + H] + 499 (0.4), 331 (18.5), 169 (56.8), 152 (34.6), 151 (10.1), 123 (11.8), 109 (33.0), 81 (12.6), 43 (100). 1 H NMR (300.13 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 1.80 (3H, s, Me at C-2), 1.15/1.16 (2 × 3H, 2s, 2 × gem. Me at C-4), 1.82 (2H, t, $J_{5,6}$ = 6.2 Hz, H-5), 2.49 (2H, t, $J_{6,5}$ = 6.9 Hz, H-6), 4.23/4.53 (2H, 2d, J_{gem} = 10.9 Hz, CH₂ at C-3), 4.54 (1H, d, $J_{1',2'}$ = 7.9 Hz, H-1'), 5.00 (1H, dd, $J_{2',1'}$ = $J_{2',3'}$ = 8.0 Hz, H-2'), 5.21 (1H, t, $J_{3',2'}$ = $J_{3',4'}$ = 9.4 Hz, H-3'), 5.09 (1H, t, $J_{4',3'}$ = $J_{4',5'}$ = 9.4 Hz, H-4'), 3.70 (1H, m, H-5'), 4.22 (2H, m, H-6'), 1.99, 2.00, 2.03, 2.08, (12H, 4 × aliphatic OAc). 13 C NMR: see Table 1.

Acknowledgement—The authors thank Gian-Andrea Gross for his help with the INKA C13 NMR data system.

REFERENCES

- 1. Drake, D. and Lam, J. (1972) Phytochemistry 11, 2651.
- Hiller, K., Otto, A. and Gründemann, E. (1980) *Pharmazie* 35, 113.
- 3. Hiller, K. and Voigt, G. (1977) Pharmazie 32, 365.
- Crowden, R. K., Harborne, J. B. and Heywood, V. H. (1969) Phytochemistry 8, 1963.
- Erdelmeier, C. A. J. and Sticher, O. (1985) Planta Med. 407
- 6. Bohlmann, F. and Zdero, C. (1971) Chem. Ber. 104, 1957.
- The INKA C13 NMR Data Base (1984) Fachinformationszentrum, Energie, Physik, Mathematik GmbH, D-7514 Eggenstein-Leopoldshafen 2, West Germany.
- 8. Bose, A. K. and Chatterjee, B. G. (1958) J. Org. Chem. 23, 1425.

Phytochemistry, Vol. 25, No. 3, pp. 743-745, 1986. Printed in Great Britain.

0031-9422/86 \$3.00 + 0.00 Pergamon Press Ltd.

GERMACRANOLIDES FROM CHAENACTIS DOUGLASII

DONALD B. STIERLE

Department of Chemistry and Geochemistry, Montana College of Mineral Science and Technology, Butte, MT 59701, U.S.A.

(Received 3 June 1985)

Key Word Index—Chaenactis douglassi; Compositae; new germacranolide; ¹³C NMR investigation.

Abstract—The investigation of Chaenactis douglasii yielded one known germacranolide and a new oxidized germacranolide.

To date, Chaenactis douglasii has yielded only one reported germacranolide, eupatoriopicrin [1]. I wish to report the isolation of other germacranolides from Chaenactis. The ethyl acetate extract from the dried aerial parts of Chaenactis douglasii (Hook.) H. et A. has yielded two major germacranolides. These compounds were purified by column chromatography followed by HPLC.

The ¹HNMR and ¹³C NMR spectra of the more polar component were identical with the known germacranolide eupaformosanin (1), which has been isolated from *Eupatorium formosanum* [2].

The less polar component (2) had a molecular formula of $C_{20}H_{24}O_7$ (HRMS). The IR spectrum of 2 indicated a large OH stretch and three C=O at 1760 (lactone), 1720 (ester) and 1680 cm⁻¹ (unsaturated acid). The IR spectrum along with the ¹H NMR resonance at δ 5.95 (dd)

indicated the C-14 methyl had been oxidized to a carboxylic acid. The chemical shift of this proton indicated a Z alkene. This stereochemistry is in contrast to other oxidized germacranolides with the E configuration around this double bond [3]. The chemical shift of the protons with this configuration are at much lower field $(\delta 7.0-7.2)$. Resonances at 1.87 (br s), and 6.85 (br t) and 1.80 (d) indicated that this germacranolide was esterified with a tiglic acid moiety [3]. The positions and relative stereochemistry of the hydroxyl and ester groups on the ring were established by analysis of the ¹H NMR spectrum of 2, the acetate (3) (Table 1) and NOE experiments done on the acetate. The two broad singlets at δ 4.48 (H-9) and 6.02 (H-8) in the parent compound appeared as a doublet at δ 5.45 (H-9, J = 9.6 Hz) and a broad doublet at $\delta 6.67$ (H-8, J = 9.6 Hz) in the acetate. The H-8 proton

2 R = H 3 R = Ac

Table 1. ¹H NMR data for sesquiterpene lactones 1-3

Н	1	2	3
1	5.10 t (7.1)	5.95 dd (4.1, 13.6)	7.15 dd (4.1, 12.0)
2α 2β	2.4 m	2.35 m 3.41 m	2.7 m
3α 3β		2.3 m	2.5 m
5	5.24 d (10)	4.88 d (9.4)	5.01 d (10.1)
6β	5.30 m	5.05 t (9.4)	5.15 br t (10.1)
7α	3.00 m	3.01 m	2.83 m
8α	5.27 m	6.02 br s	6.67 br d (9.6)
9α 9β	2.73 m	4.28 br s	 5.45 d (9.6)
13	6.36 d (2)	6.30 d (2)	6.29 d (2.1)
13'	5.80 d (2)	5.75 d (2)	5.82 d (2.1)
14	1.79	_	
15	1.90	1.78	1.78
3'	6.95 t (7)	6.85 br q (7)	6.81 br t (7)
4'	4.43 d (7)	1.80 d (7)	1.80 d (7)
5'	4.35 br s	1.87 br s	1.96 br s
OAc	2.10		2.01

Coupling constants (J, in Hz) are given in parentheses.

was then coupled to a broad doublet at $\delta 2.83$ (H-7). This coupling could be continued around to the C-15 methyl. Irradiation of H-9 gave an NOE enhancement ($\sim 10\%$) of H-6 indicating that they are on the same side of the ring. Irradiation of H-8 gave an NOE enhancement (15%) of H-13 only. Consideration of the coupling constants and these NOE experiments indicate the 8β ,9 α -configuration. It is interesting to note that the conformation of the ten

membered ring changes quite a bit from the parent compound to the acetate, presumably due to H-bonding of the hydroxyl to the acid in the parent compound.

The ¹³C NMR spectra of both of these compounds is shown in Table 2. These were assigned by consideration of the chemical shifts of the carbons and the multiplicites in the off resonance spectra. These spectra are consistent with the proposed structure.

Although this species of *Chaenactis* has been shown to contain eupatoriopicrin [1], no eupatoriopicrin could be detected by NMR or TLC in this collection.

EXPERIMENTAL

Chaenactis douglasii was collected on 10 July 1983 in Butte, MT. The sample was identified in Dr. Paul Sawyer, Montana Tech Biology Department.

IR: CHCl₃; ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR: in CDCl₃; MS VG 7070 EHF. The dried plant material was extracted with EtOAc and the extract separated first by CC (silica gel) and further by HPLC (Whatman, M9) using EtOAc-hexane mixtures as solvents. 500 g of aerial parts afforded 300 mg 1 and 500 mg 2.

Eupaformosanin (1). Oil; $1R^{9}_{max}$ cm⁻¹: 1760 (α-lactone), 1730 (OAc), 1715 (C=CO₂R). MS m/z: 420.1779 [M]⁺ (0.5%) (Calc. for C₂₂H₂₈O₈ 420.1781), [M – HOAc]⁺ 360 (1.6%), 288 (100%), 97 (70%), 43 (90%).

Douglasine (2). IR ν_{max} cm⁻¹: 3500 (OH), 1760 (α-lactone), 1720 (C=C-CO₂R), 1680 (C=C-CO₂H). MS m/z: 376.1515 [M]⁺ (1.5%) (calc. for C₂₀H₂₄O₇ 376.1518), [M - H₂O]⁺ 358 (19.1), 258 (19.3), 83 (100), 55 (86).

Douglasine acetate (3). Compound 2 (5 mg) was stirred with 0.5 ml of pyridine and 0.5 ml Ac_2O for 24 hr, the solvents removed in vacuo and the product separated by HPLC; IR $v_{\rm max}$ cm⁻¹: 3500, 1760, 1720, 1680. MS m/z: 418, 358, 258, 55.

Table 2. ¹³C NMR data for sesquiterpene lactones 1 and 2

С	1	2
1	124.1 d	150.8 d
2	30.4 t	25.7 t
3	70.6* d	39.0 t
4	135.9 s	135.7 s
5	125.0 d	124.7 d
6	79.5 d	81.6 d
7	48.5 d	54.1 d
8	74.4* d	75.4* d
9	43.1 <i>t</i>	73.3* d
10	135.8 s	144.7 s
11	131.2 s	127.4 s
12	169.7 s	169.4 s
13	125.0 t	122.0 t
14	18.5 q	168.9 s
15	17.9 q	17.4 q
1′	165.8 s	167.5 s
2′	137.0 s	126.4 s
3′	145.1 d	139.9 d
4′	56.2 t	11.9 q
5′	58.9 t	14.5 q
Ac	178.3 s, 21.	0 q

^{*}Indicates assignments may be reversed.

Acknowledgements—I would like to acknowledge the use of Montana State University's NMR and MS facilities. The MS facility is supported by the National Science Foundation Grant No. CHE 81-15565 and the M. J. Murdock Charitable Trust. I would also like to thank Tim Schram and Joe Sears for their instrumental help.

REFERENCES

- 1. Geissman, T. A. and Atala, S. (1971) Phytochemistry 10, 1075.
- Lee, K., Kimura, T., Haruna, M., McPhail, A., Oman, K. and Huang, H. (1977) Phytochemistry 10, 1068.
- Oliver, E. J., Malcolm, A. J., Allin, D. V. and Fisher, N. H. (1983) Phytochemistry 22, 1453.

Phytochemistry, Vol. 25, No. 3, pp. 745-746, 1986. Printed in Great Britain.

0031-9422/86 \$3.00+0.00 Pergamon Press Ltd.

HELIANGOLIDES FROM ISOCARPHA OPPOSITIFOLIA VAR. ACHYRANTHES

ANA L. PÉREZ, LETICIA NAVA and ALFONSO ROMO DE VIVAR

Instituto de Química, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México,* Circuito Exterior, Ciudad Universitaria, Coyoacán 04510, México, D.F.

(Revised received 20 August 1985)

Key Word Index-Isocarpha oppositifolia var. achyranthes; Compositae; sesquiterpene lactones; heliangolides.

Abstract—A new heliangolide and the known compounds hiyodorilactone F and eucannabinolide have been isolated form Isocarpha oppositifolia var. achyranthes.

INTRODUCTION

The taxonomic position of the tropical American genus Isocarpha (Compositae) which comprises ten species [1] is still a matter of discussion. Its position in the Eupatoriae tribe seems to be in good agreement with its morphological and chemical characteristics [2, 3]. The presence of 3 (2, H) furanone heliangolides in I. atriplicifolia [4] seemed to support its placement in the Heliantheae tribe [5], but these types of compounds, which are frequent in Heliantheae, has been found also in members of the Eupatoriae tribe [6–8].

The chemical study of *Isocarpha oppositifolia* var. achyranthes collected in Northeastern, México, afforded germacranolides related to eucannabinolide, a type of compound common to both Eupatorieae and Heliantheae tribes.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The aerial parts of *I. oppositifolia* (L.) Cass var. achyranthes (DC.) Keyland Stuessy afforded the known compounds hiyodorilactone F (1a) [9] and eucannabinolide (1d) [4]. The new heliangolide 1b was found mixed with its isomer hiyodorilactone F (1a). The separation of the two compounds was very difficult.

The spectral data of lactone 1b are almost super-

imposable on those of hiyodorilactone F (1a) (see Experimental) since the structure of both isomeric compounds only differ in the position of the acetate group of the ester side chain, the latter containing the acetate at C-5' and 1b at C-4'.

Compound 1c, $C_{25}H_{32}O_8$, which preceded eucannabinolide in the chromatography was shown to be its acetonide, probably formed in the isolation process. The identification of 1c was achieved by direct comparison with an authentic sample of eucannabinolide acetonide.

$$1a R = 0$$

$$OAC$$

$$OAC$$

$$OAC$$

$$OAC$$

$$OAC$$

$$OBC$$

$$OBC$$

$$OBC$$

$$OAC$$

$$OBC$$

^{*}Contribution No. 736.